

TO: Mayor Dahlquist
City Council

FROM: Christine Howe, Grants Manager - Planning & Development Services Department
Shane Grow, Fire Chief – Pocatello Fire Department

DATE: Meeting of August 20, 2026

RE: Proposal Acceptance and Approval of Contract between City of Pocatello and Dudek for the Wildfire Analysis and Assessment

The City conducted a request for proposals procurement for the completion of a Wildfire Analysis and Assessment. The Evaluation Committee reviewed the responsive and responsible proposals that were received by the submission deadline and completed the evaluation matrix for the proposals. The Committee then met to review the evaluation matrices and identified its most highly qualified proposals based on the evaluation criteria. The recommendation by the Committee based on the review of the proposals and the interviews is to award the contract for City of Pocatello Wildfire Assessment and Analysis RFP to Dudek, the company offering the highest rated proposal.

Council may wish to:

- a) Accept the recommendation of the Evaluation Committee and award the highest rated proposal for the Wildfire Analysis and Assessment RFP to Dudek; and
- b) Authorize a contract for the work between the City of Pocatello and Dudek in the amount of \$54,980 for the Wildfire Analysis and Assessment and authorize the Mayor's signature on all pertinent documents, subject to Legal Department review.

The project will be funded through the Community Wildfire Defense Grant awarded to the City.

If you have questions or would like more information, please do not hesitate to contact me at chowe@pocatello.gov or 208-234-6186.

To: City Council and Mayor
From: Matt Kerbs, Deputy City Attorney 
Date: August 7, 2026
Re: RFP Award and Agreement for Wildfire Analysis and Assessment with Dudek

I have reviewed the above referenced documents and have no legal concerns with Council awarding the RFP to Dudek, and authorizing the Mayor to sign the Agreement associated with the award. Dudek was the deemed the highest qualified firm for this Request for Proposal.

RFP Decision Summary

RFP Decision Summary

City of Pocatello Wildfire Analysis and Assessment Decision Summary

The Evaluation Committee for the City of Pocatello's Request for Proposals for Wildfire Analysis and Assessment was comprised of: Interim Fire Chief Shane Grow, Pocatello Fire Department, Nico Martinez, City of Pocatello GIS Coordinator, Allison Radmall, City of Pocatello GIS Analyst, Matthew Porteus, Western Fire Chiefs Association Operations Advisor, and Kimberly Stouse, Pocatello Fire Department Community Risk Reduction Specialist.

The Committee reviewed the responsive and responsible proposals that were received by the submission deadline and completed the evaluation matrix for the proposals. The Committee then met to review the evaluation matrices and identified its most highly qualified proposals based on the evaluation criteria. The Committee elected to interview the top two rated firms after reviewing the proposals. The Committee completed its evaluation of the top two firms interviewed and identified the highest qualified firm.

The recommendation by the Committee based on the review of the proposals and the interviews is to award the contract for City of Pocatello Wildfire Assessment and Analysis RFP to Dudek, the company offering the highest rated proposal.

Dudek was selected due to the fact that their proposal most clearly demonstrated: technical expertise and experience of the project team with clearly defined roles of the project manager and project lead; completion of similar work in recent years that showed a strong ability to create a model that will identify the fire path vulnerability assessment and clear mitigation strategies and priorities as well as an understanding of the unique geography, terrain, climate and other factors that will influence the assessment for Pocatello; a high quality proposal that clearly demonstrated the deliverables that will be provided and meets the scope of work with a particular strength on providing community outreach and engagement materials; a detailed timeline demonstrating an ability to meet project goals and complete the work; and a reasonable price. The firm also conducted a thorough, well-executed interview in which the presentation thoroughly addressed the requested information, and the team answered all questions with detailed, relevant project examples as well as specifics for the Pocatello project.

Proposal Due Date: June 15, 2026 at 3:00PM MT

RESPONSIVE PROPOSALS RECEIVED FROM:	RATING WITHOUT INTERVIEW (Out of 450 total points)	RATING ON INTERVIEW (Out of 50 total points)	OVERALL RATING
Deer Creek Resources	323		323
Dudek	401	44	445
Environmental Solutions & Innovations, Inc.	272		272
SWCA Environmental Consultants	297		297
XyloPlan	353	41	394

Contract Documents



PROJECT NUMBER: 20976

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

This Professional Services Agreement ("Agreement") is effective on August 21, 2026 between DUDEK ("Consultant") at its office location designated below:

DUDEK
687 S. Coast Highway 101, Suite 110
Encinitas, CA 92024
Phone: (760) 942-5147

And

City of Pocatello
911 N 7th Avenue
Pocatello, ID 83201
Phone: (208) 234-6163

("Client") on the terms and conditions set forth below:

Project Name ("Project"): Wildfire Analysis and Assessment

- A. Consultant agrees to perform the Scope of Services described on Exhibit 1 attached hereto ("Services");
- B. Client agrees to compensate Consultant for the Services as follows:

T&E Not to Exceed: \$54,980.00

- C. Agreement Documents. The Agreement Documents consist of this Agreement including the Additional Provisions together with the Exhibits, which are listed below:
Exhibit 1: Scope of Services; Exhibit 2: Rate Schedule; and Exhibit 3: Details For Payments made by ACH and Credit Card (note: a processing fee may be applied to any credit card transactions).

Client:	City of Pocatello	Consultant:	DUDEK
By:		By:	
Name:	Mark Dahlquist	Name:	
Title:	Mayor	Title:	
Date:		Date:	

Additional Provisions of Agreement

1. **Scope Exclusions:**

- Services required due to changes in applicable laws or requirements that are changed after the effective date of this Agreement
- Re-performing field work when it is damaged by forces outside Consultant's reasonable control
- Adjusting Services due to changes in field conditions from those existing at the time the Services were proposed
- On-site construction review
- Preparing or processing "as-built" plans
- Obtaining government approvals or permits, including extensions
- Expert witness or other litigation support services

2. Standard of Care. In performing the Services Consultant will exercise the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by companies in the same business performing the same or similar services at the same time and in the same geographic area (the "Standard of Care"). Consultant makes no warranty, either express or implied, as to the Services, except that the Services will be performed to the Standard of Care.

3. Project Cost Increases Due to Design Error. Client and Consultant acknowledge that Project costs may increase due to omissions, ambiguities, or inconsistencies in the Service Documents even though the Services were performed pursuant to the Standard of Care.

4. Consultant's Reports. Every assessment, audit or other report issued by Consultant setting forth its findings and conclusions is based on the limited information available from the observations, investigations, sampling and/or testing conducted as part of the Services.

5. Project Documents and Site Information; Site Access. Prior to commencement of the Services, Client will provide Consultant all relevant information reasonably available to Client. Consultant may rely on Client supplied information. Client shall secure all necessary site related approvals, permits, licenses, and consents necessary to enable Consultant to commence and complete the Services and will execute any necessary site access agreement. Consultant will be responsible for supervision and site safety measures for its own employees, but shall not be responsible for the supervision or health and safety precautions for any third parties, including Client's contractors, subcontractors, or other parties present at the site.

6. Changed Field Conditions. The Services are based upon field conditions existing at the time the Services were performed. Client acknowledges that field conditions may change before Project construction occurs, if applicable, and changes to the Services may be necessary to reflect changed field conditions. Client and Consultant will promptly inform each other of any changed field conditions known to either of them that necessitate Service changes.

7. Extra Services. Any services performed by Consultant which are not included in the Scope of Services of this Agreement ("Extra Services") will be paid for by Client on a Time and Materials basis according to Consultant's then current rate schedule. For example, responding to a subpoena or preparing for or appearing in litigation on behalf of Client would be defined as Extra Services.

8. Invoices, Late Charges. The compensation scheme will be Time and Expenses Not to Exceed. Services will be billed by multiplying staff time by hourly rate. "Not to Exceed" requires Consultant to complete the Services within the not to exceed amount unless Client instructs or authorizes such work or an amendment is approved. Expenses are in addition to the stated number. Consultant will keep accurate records of time expended and reimbursable expenses. Invoices submitted by Consultant will outline labor (by staff type and hours) and expenses for the current performance period and Project to date total. All fees, costs and other charges will be billed to Client monthly and will be due and payable upon receipt. Invoices are delinquent if not paid within 30 days from the date of the invoice. Client agrees to pay interest at a 10% compounded annual rate for amounts unpaid greater than 30 days after

the date of the invoice. Client is in breach of this Agreement for failing to pay invoices within 45 days from the date of the invoice.

Invoices can be paid by ACH, check, or credit card. See Exhibit 3 for details regarding payment by ACH and credit card. For credit card payments, Client agrees that a processing fee may be added to the payment to cover costs associated with credit card processing. Payments made by check should be sent to the Lockbox at DUDEK, P.O. Box 515569, Los Angeles, CA 90051-4581.

9. Payment of Costs and Reimbursable Expenses. Consultant will be responsible for any fees associated with operating its business and professional licensing. Client agrees to reimburse Consultant for all of Consultant's expenses incurred in performing the Services (e.g., reproduction, delivery services, postage, express and overnight mail, data transfers, and vehicle mileage).

10. Early Termination/Suspension and Restart. In the event of a breach of this Agreement by Client, Consultant may stop performing Services and may terminate this Agreement after providing Client with seven (7) days' prior written notice without any further obligation or liability to Client. Client may suspend or terminate the Services upon seven (7) days' prior written notice to Consultant. In either situation, Client will pay Consultant for all Services performed and costs incurred by Consultant, not to exceed the full amount of compensation for this Agreement. If the Services are suspended by Client for 60 days or more, Client agrees to pay Consultant for additional costs Consultant incurs to suspend and restart the Services. If the Services are suspended by Client for 90 days or more, Consultant may terminate this Agreement.

11. Ownership and Use of Services and Service Documents. Consultant owns title to documents provided to Client ("Service Documents"). Provided Client has paid for all Services and Extra Services, Consultant agrees to transfer ownership of the Service Documents to Client for the use intended by this Agreement. Without Consultant's prior written consent, Client will not use, transfer or permit the Service Documents to be used by anyone for any purpose other than the use intended by this Agreement. Use of the Service Documents for modifications to the Project is at the Client's risk if Consultant is not engaged for that use. Client will indemnify and defend Consultant and its officers, directors and employees from any claim, liability, cost or damages to the extent caused by use of the Service Documents other than as intended by this Agreement by Client, its agents or any third party to whom Client transfers the Service Documents.

12. Electronic Data. All electronic data files furnished by Consultant ("Files") to Client or any of Client's agents, employees, representatives or other consultants (collectively, "User"), except locked data files (i.e., Adobe .pdf files) are provided for the convenience of the User. Client agrees and will ensure that every User agrees, that: (i) if there are any defects or discrepancies between the Files and hard copies, the hard copies will govern; (ii) no information in the Files may be relied upon for accuracy or considered the most current information and use is at User's risk; (iii) Consultant will not be responsible for any virus, corruption or damage to User's electronic data files or computer systems.

13. Third Party Opposition. Client understands that the Services may invite third party litigation, which may be motivated by opposition to the Project although directed at the adequacy of the Services. Client agrees that any third-party litigation concerning the Services not alleging personal injury or property damage will not justify Client to withhold payments or be the basis for any claim by Client against Consultant.

14. Delay. Consultant will not be responsible for damages or be in default by reason of delays caused by factors beyond Consultant's reasonable control.

15. Independent Contractor. Consultant is an independent contractor and not an employee or agent of Client.

16. Indemnity. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Consultant shall indemnify Client, its officers, directors, partners, employees, and representatives from and against losses, damages, and judgments arising from claims by third parties, including reasonable attorneys' fees

Additional Provisions of Agreement

and expenses recoverable under applicable law, but only to the extent they are found to have been caused by a negligent act, error, or omission of Consultant or Consultant's officers, directors, members, partners, agents, employees, or subconsultants in the performance of services under this Agreement. This indemnity does not include defense; only reasonable costs of defense to the extent incurred due to Consultant's fault.

17. Waiver of Consequential Damages. The parties waive consequential damages (such as lost profits, lost revenues, loss of use and loss of reputation) for claims, disputes or other matters in question arising out of this Agreement whether arising in contract, tort or warranty. This mutual waiver is applicable, without limitation, to all consequential damages that might otherwise be included within the Consultant's indemnification obligations.

18. Insurance. Consultant will maintain policies of insurance with the following limits:

- Workers compensation – Statutory
- Commercial liability - \$1,000,000 each occurrence; \$2,000,000 general aggregate; \$2,000,000 products and completed operations aggregate
- Automobile liability - \$1,000,000 combined single limit..

Consultant will also maintain Professional liability insurance with policy limits of \$1,000,000 per claim and in the aggregate. Upon request, Consultant will furnish certificates of insurance to Client.

19. Confidentiality. (a) Confidential Information. In connection with this Agreement, either party ("Disclosing Party") may disclose information that is confidential or proprietary to the other party ("Receiving Party") ("Confidential Information"). Confidential Information includes information that is disclosed by Client to Consultant, or that is disclosed by Consultant and the means, methods, processes, techniques, procedures, technology, know-how, and trade secrets used in performance of the Services. The Disclosing Party will conspicuously mark or otherwise identify documents including Confidential Information as such prior to, or promptly after disclosure to the Receiving Party. (b) **Nondisclosure.** The Receiving Party will treat all Confidential Information as confidential and proprietary of the Disclosing Party and will use the Confidential Information solely for the purposes for which it is provided by the Disclosing Party. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Receiving Party will take reasonable precautions to prevent any unauthorized use or disclosure of Confidential Information. (c) **Not Applicable To Certain Information.** The obligations under (b) will not apply to any: (i) information that is or becomes part of the public domain through no fault of the Receiving Party; (ii) information that is obtained by the Receiving Party from a third party and without any obligation to treat the same as confidential or proprietary; (iii) information that is independently developed by the Receiving Party without reference to any Confidential Information; (iv) disclosure required by legal process or applicable law, provided that the Receiving Party will use reasonable efforts to give advance notice to, and cooperate with, the Disclosing Party in connection with the disclosure; and (v) disclosure with the consent of the Disclosing Party.

20. Mediation and Arbitration; Limits of Liability. The parties will promptly attempt to resolve any dispute arising out of this Agreement by negotiation. If resolution of the dispute cannot be reached by negotiation within 30 days of written notice of the dispute from one party to the other, then the dispute will be submitted by the parties for mediation with a neutral mediator selected by the parties. If the dispute is not resolved within 60 days of written notice of the intent to mediate from one party to the other, the parties may commence arbitration. Neither party will commence arbitration without first attempting negotiation and mediation for the periods described. Any remaining dispute, including the enforceability of the arbitration provision, will be determined by

arbitration. The arbitration will be administered by JAMS pursuant to its Engineering and Construction Arbitration Rules and Procedures and incorporating the JAMS Recommended Arbitration Discovery Protocols for Domestic, Commercial Cases using the suggested numbers in the Protocols, both Rules and Protocols current as of the demand for arbitration. The arbitration hearing will be held in the arbitration administrator's forum nearest the Consultant location designated above. The arbitration will take place before one arbitrator selected under the JAMS protocol, who is a licensed attorney in the locale of the arbitration, with at least 10 years' experience with professional design or environmental services contracts. Each party will have a maximum three days of hearing time. The arbitrator may appoint an independent expert whose testimony will be heard but will not be binding on the arbitrator. The independent expert expenses will be a cost of arbitration and all costs of arbitration will be shared equally between the parties. The arbitrator is prohibited from awarding punitive or exemplary damages and the parties agree that Consultant's liability, if any, shall in no case exceed three times the amount of the fee charged. The parties will maintain the confidential nature of the arbitration proceeding and the award, except as may be necessary to seek remedies allowed by law. Judgment on the award may be entered 30 days after the award is issued in any court having jurisdiction.

21. Assignment and Binding Effect; No Third-Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement will not be assigned by Client or Consultant without the prior written consent of the other. This Agreement will be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators and successors of Client and Consultant. This Agreement is intended for the sole use and benefit of the Parties for the Project and is not intended to create any third-party rights, benefits, or causes of action or for any other use.

22. Entire Agreement and Waiver. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between Client and Consultant for the contracted Services. Any other agreements, promises, negotiations or representations not written in this Agreement are not valid for the contracted Services.


23. Severability, Governing Law and Venue. If any provision of this Agreement is held invalid or unenforceable, the remaining provisions will be valid and binding. This Agreement will be governed by the laws of the State of California. Venue will be where the Project is located.

24. Notice Client and Consultant agree that correspondence required outside the ordinary course of business will be sent to the addresses on the first page of this Agreement.

25. Representation The parties were represented by counsel in the negotiation and execution of this Agreement.

26. Electronic Signature Consultant and Client agree that electronic signatures, including those delivered by PDF or signed through the electronic signature system known as "DocuSign", shall have the same effect as originals. All parties to this Agreement waive any and all rights to object to the enforceability of this Agreement based on the form or delivery of signature.

27. No Waiver. No failure or delay on the part of any party hereto in exercising any right, power or remedy hereunder or pursuant hereto shall operate as a waiver thereof; nor shall any single or partial exercise of any such right, power or remedy preclude any other or further exercise thereof or the exercise of any other right, power or remedy hereunder or pursuant thereto.



PROPOSAL

WILDFIRE ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT

CITY OF POCA TELLO

JUNE 15, 2026

DUDEK

Introductory Cover Letter

June 15, 2026

Christine Howe
City of Pocatello
911 N. 7th Avenue
Pocatello, Idaho 83201

Subject: City of Pocatello Wildfire Analysis and Assessment

Dear Christine Howe:

Dudek is pleased to submit this proposal to the City of Pocatello (City) for the Wildfire Analysis and Assessment. We understand the City seeks a qualified consultant to support its Community Wildfire Defense Grant through a wildfire vulnerability analysis, prioritized mitigation assessment, and implementation-focused mitigation plan.

This effort must build on prior wildfire risk reduction work and provide parcel-level analysis of wildfire pathways, ember exposure, access, terrain, vegetation, and structure vulnerabilities. The results should inform prioritized recommendations for defensible space, home hardening, fuel treatment, and broader community mitigation. We offer the following strengths:

Integrated analysis and implementation focus. Dudek combines technical analysis, geographic information system (GIS) mapping, and clear decision tools to support mitigation prioritization and community communication. We will provide maps, graphics, simulation outputs, GIS data, a summary report, and outreach materials that support education and ongoing mitigation tracking.

Experience that supports grant-funded delivery. Dudek supports public agencies with complex planning, environmental analysis, GIS deliverables, and implementation strategies. We provide clear, well-documented work products tailored to local government needs and federally funded procurement requirements.

We look forward to working with the City on this important project. If there are any questions about our qualifications, please contact our project manager, Matthew Crockett, at mcrockett@dudek.com or 805.308.8528.

Sincerely,



Joseph Monaco
President and CEO



Matthew Crockett
Project Manager

Joseph Monaco is authorized to sign on behalf of Dudek.

Project Manager and Main Point of Contact

Matthew Crockett
mcrockett@dudek.com
805.308.8528


Team and Personnel Qualifications

Over 45 years, Dudek has supported public-sector clients with a wide range of projects. Our fire planning team has completed hundreds of projects over the past 23 years, establishing Dudek as a trusted leader in wildfire planning across the western United States. We deliver science-based solutions that strengthen wildfire resilience and support informed decision-making.

We provide wildfire hazard and risk analyses through focused fire behavior and spread modeling. These results, combined with agency and community input, directly inform our Action Plans. We also coordinate with local, state, and federal agencies, as applicable, to support feasibility, cross-boundary collaboration, and priority mitigation actions that can be adaptively managed for long-term community benefit.

Wildfire Modeling and GIS

Dudek provides advanced wildfire modeling and geographic information system (GIS) services to support vegetation management, wildfire planning, and landscape-scale prioritization efforts. Our team has completed hundreds of projects for public agencies, delivering wildfire hazard and risk assessments, fire behavior modeling, ignition and spread analyses, and spatial decision-support tools. We integrate high-quality spatial data, scientifically defensible modeling methods, and practical planning expertise to produce transparent, repeatable, and actionable results.



Example of Dudek's fire pathway and structure ignition modeling

Our approach incorporates terrain, fuels, weather, ignition probability, infrastructure, access constraints, and values at risk into comprehensive spatial analyses that support informed decision-making. Using industry-standard modeling tools and custom GIS workflows, we tailor analyses to local conditions and clearly document assumptions and uncertainties. Dudek emphasizes user-friendly deliverables, including clear mapping, well-documented geodatabases, and scalable methodologies that support long-term program implementation and adaptive management.

Wildfire Mitigation Planning

The Dudek fire planning team develops high-quality planning documents focused on reducing wildfire risk to communities and resources. Our planning documents are supported by thorough technical analyses of wildfire hazard and potential fire behavior, which support the development and prioritization of risk mitigation strategies. We have completed numerous plans across a variety of vegetation communities and land management jurisdictions.

Key Personnel

The following section presents the key personnel who will be responsible for successfully delivering this project. Resumes for the entire project team are provided in [Appendix A](#).

MATTHEW CROCKETT, PROJECT MANAGER: SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA

Matthew Crockett is a wildfire hazard and risk assessment specialist with 8 years' experience in wildfire risk assessment, fire behavior modeling, and community wildfire planning. His expertise includes wildfire hazard and risk assessments, wildfire spread modeling, community wildfire protection plans (CWPPs), and wildfire mitigation plans (WMPs). He has led and supported numerous city-scale wildfire hazard and risk assessments for municipalities across the western United States, helping communities identify vulnerabilities, prioritize mitigation actions, and strengthen long-term wildfire resilience.

Matthew is highly proficient in GIS analysis and fire behavior modeling, and is passionate about applying science-based methods to inform practical, on-the-ground strategies that protect lives, property, and natural resources. In addition to his consulting work, he teaches GIS at California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, where he helps prepare the next generation of geospatial professionals through hands-on instruction and applied spatial analysis.

Matthew will serve as the project director and manager and will manage daily project execution and coordinate with the project team to ensure technical alignment and project direction. Matthew will oversee the project schedule, task assignments, and workflow coordination to maintain progress and efficiency. Matthew will serve as a primary point of contact for clients, leading communication efforts and providing regular project updates and reporting.

JEREMY CAWN, DEPUTY PROJECT MANAGER: OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Jeremy Cawn is a Registered Professional Forester (RPF), arborist, and wildfire mitigation specialist with 13 years' experience in urban forestry, vegetation management, fire protection planning, wildland firefighting, and wildfire risk reduction. His expertise includes wildfire risk assessments, vegetation management planning, utility wildfire mitigation, urban forest management, and hazardous vegetation programs. Jeremy's background includes service as a fire prevention inspector, municipal forester, and arborist, as well as 11 years as a wildland firefighter and prescribed fire specialist, providing extensive practical and technical experience in wildfire mitigation and community resilience. During his career with the U.S. Forest Service, Jeremy served as a smokejumper based in Grangeville, Idaho, responding to wildfires throughout the Intermountain West. He jumped numerous fires in southeastern Idaho, and developed a firsthand understanding of the fuels, fire behavior, topography, and weather conditions that influence wildfire risk in the Pocatello area. Having worked directly on wildfires in the region, Jeremy brings a grounded, operational perspective to wildfire analysis and assessment, helping ensure that recommendations are both scientifically sound and informed by real-world fire experience.

Scope, Deliverables

Task1: Vulnerability Analysis and Assessment

Dudek will develop a comprehensive wildfire hazard and risk assessment to inform the identification of priority treatment areas within the City. Our team has completed numerous wildfire risk assessments throughout the western United States and brings extensive experience in applying modeling approaches based on a deep understanding of fire science, ensuring a high level of technical rigor, detail, and quality assurance in all deliverables.

We understand that community wildfire risk is complex and driven by many factors in both the natural and built environments. The following components will form the basis of the vulnerability analysis and provide a detailed, parcel-level understanding of wildfire hazard and risk across the study area.

Wildfire Pathways and Wildfire Behavior Assessment

Dudek will develop project-specific fire behavior inputs to support the prioritization framework and the spatial identification of priority treatment areas. Using FlamMap and GIS-based analysis, Dudek will generate a suite of spatial outputs including landscape fire behavior, Integrated Hazard (a composite of burn probability and flame length), and fire progression models to characterize relative wildfire likelihood and potential fire intensity under regionally representative weather conditions. These outputs will be standardized for integration in the prioritization framework and used to identify areas where wildfire hazard overlaps with values at risk, thereby supporting the delineation of priority treatment areas.

The modeling effort will be conducted within the City limits and a surrounding 3-mile buffer to account for potential wildfire spread into the City from adjacent landscapes.

- **Fire Progression Maps and Major Fire Flow Paths:** Fire progression modeling from likely or historic ignition locations during specific weather conditions will be performed to identify how fire may spread toward and within the plan area. The analysis will utilize the Minimum Travel Time tool in FlamMap to identify major paths of fire spread that have the potential to threaten assets within the City. Major spread paths and predicted points of wildfire entry into community areas will be identified and included into the prioritization framework.
- **Landscape Wildfire Behavior:** Landscape wildfire behavior modeling will produce maps of expected flame lengths, rate of spread, and fireline intensity to characterize potential fire behavior and inform the risk analysis.
- **Landscape Burn Probability and Relative Hazard:** Landscape burn probability estimates the likelihood of wildfire occurrence by simulating fire spread from thousands of ignition locations under defined weather and fuel conditions. Combined with flame length outputs, relative hazard identifies the areas that are the most susceptible to high-intensity wildfire and helps inform fuel treatment and risk mitigation priorities.


Landscape and Vegetation Data Curation

Fire behavior modeling requires spatial datasets that accurately represent terrain and vegetation conditions, as data quality and resolution directly influence model reliability, particularly for parcel-level assessments.

Dudek will incorporate high-resolution (5-meter or finer) vegetation and fuels data derived from lidar and satellite imagery, rather than relying solely on standard 30-meter LANDFIRE datasets commonly used by other firms. This approach better captures fine-scale variability in fuels and landscape conditions, especially within the wildland–urban interface (WUI), resulting in more accurate and locally relevant fire behavior outputs and improved sensitivity to mitigation actions.

Dudek proposes conducting in-person field surveys of approximately 50 to 100 representative parcels to collect information on prevalent vegetation communities, fuel conditions, and structural characteristics. The field data will be used to validate and refine vegetation mapping and to inform the wildfire hazard and risk assessment, ensuring that the analyses accurately reflect existing site conditions and development patterns.

Recent satellite imagery will be used to ensure datasets reflect current conditions, including wildfire impacts, development, and vegetation management activities. Where available, City-provided information on fuel treatments and defensible space improvements will also be integrated to further refine model inputs. Together, these datasets ensure that wildfire hazard and risk outputs reflect current, on-the-ground conditions and support more reliable decision-making.



Comparison between Dudek's fine-scale vegetation mapping for Moab, Utah (left) vs. LANDFIRE 30-meter publicly available data (right)

Terrain data will be derived from the U.S. Geological Survey 1-meter Digital Elevation Model and used to generate high-resolution slope and aspect layers for fire behavior modeling. These terrain variables are key inputs for characterizing fuel exposure, fire spread direction, and rate of spread, and are also used to represent localized wind effects driven by topographic features such as ridges, valleys, and slope orientation.

Weather Analysis and Worst-Case Fire Weather Conditions

Historical weather data from local stations, including the Scott Mountain Remote Automatic Weather Station (RAWS) and nearby airport and community weather stations, will be used to develop representative inputs for wildfire behavior modeling. RAWS data will inform fuel moisture and general wind patterns, while additional station observations will refine local wind speed and contextual conditions. These inputs will be applied in fire behavior and spread modeling to simulate wildfire intensity, rate of spread, ember production, and fire growth across the Plan Area. Multiple weather scenarios will be evaluated, including peak summer conditions with prevailing southwest winds and less frequent but higher-risk north and northeast wind events, to capture a range of potential fire behavior outcomes.

Wind speed and direction will be further refined using WindNinja within FlamMap to model terrain-driven wind effects such as channeling, acceleration over ridges, and sheltering in valleys. This downscaled wind field will improve representation of site-specific fire-atmosphere interactions and more accurately simulate fire spread pathways in complex terrain. Fire behavior specialists with the local fire agency will review the selected weather and fuel moisture assumptions prior to modeling to ensure they reflect local fire experience and conditions.

Ember Deposit Zones

Dudek recognizes embers as the primary mechanism of structure ignition in many WUI fires, accounting for an estimated 60%–90% of home losses. Wind-driven embers can ignite fuels within the Home Ignition Zone far ahead of the advancing fire front, causing structure loss without direct flame contact. Existing tools such as MAXSPOT in FlamMap estimate spotting distance but are primarily designed for timber fuels and do not adequately represent ember production from shrub, grass, and mixed vegetation systems. To better capture

ember behavior across diverse fuel types, Dudek will use an approach aligned with Pyrologix's WildEST¹ framework to model ember production and transport throughout the study area. The analysis will evaluate ember production, transport, and cumulative ember load based on modeled fire behavior, wind conditions, and spotting relationships. These outputs will identify areas where ember exposure is concentrated, and ignition potential is highest. The results provide a spatial framework for identifying the WUI locations that are most vulnerable to ember-driven structure ignition.

Road Access

Dudek will evaluate road access conditions within the WUI to assess how roadway networks, development patterns, and topographic constraints may influence evacuation and emergency response during a wildfire event. City-provided and publicly available data will be synthesized in a GIS-based analysis to identify evacuation routes and key constraints, including dead-end roads, single-access neighborhoods, and constrained roadway segments. These conditions will be evaluated in relation to areas of elevated wildfire risk to identify locations where access limitations may most significantly increase community risk. Findings will be summarized through maps and narrative discussion, and incorporated into the overall assessment to inform the identification and prioritization of mitigation strategies.

Structure Vulnerabilities (Defensible Space and Home Hardening at the Parcel Level)

Dudek will evaluate structure vulnerability at the parcel level using fine-scale GIS data, including wildfire modeling outputs, and an updated structure inventory derived from Federal Emergency Management Agency USA Structures data supplemented with high-resolution imagery review. The assessment will evaluate defensible space conditions, structure spacing, modeled ember exposure, proximity to wildfire entry points, tree canopy near structures, and direct wildfire exposure to identify parcels and neighborhoods most vulnerable to structure loss.

Modeling structure-structure spread is a large limitation in the wildfire modeling space. While new models are being developed, the most promising have been found to predict structure loss at only 58% accuracy.² Because no industry-standard methodology exists for modeling structure-to-structure fire spread, Dudek will develop a simplified model based on factors shown by research to influence fire propagation between buildings, including structure density, structure spacing, community vegetation conditions, defensible space, and ember exposure. Areas with closely spaced structures, elevated vegetation connectivity, and high ember exposure will be identified as having greater potential for cascading structure-to-structure fire spread. The resulting spatial analysis will identify the areas at greatest risk from direct wildfire exposure, ember intrusion, and structure-to-structure spread, providing a robust basis for prioritizing defensible space, home hardening, and other community wildfire mitigation efforts.

Task 2: Prioritized Mitigation Assessment

The wildfire mitigation prioritization framework will integrate the wildfire behavior and spread modeling, ember exposure analysis, structural vulnerability assessment, and evacuation/access analyses described above to identify and rank locations where mitigation actions can most effectively reduce community wildfire risk. The framework will evaluate both the likelihood of wildfire impacting community assets and the susceptibility of those assets to ignition and loss. Results will be used to support two complementary mitigation objectives:

¹ Scott, Joe H., Chris J. Moran, Michael N. Callahan, April M. Brough, Matthew P. Thompson. 2024. Methods and outputs of the Wildfire Exposure Simulation Tool (WildEST). 29 p. DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/VEDKC

² Price, O., Onde, S., & Bowman, D. M. (2025). Progress and prospects for predicting wildfire spread through the wildland-urban interface. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 121, 105392.

Identification of Priority Areas for Landscape-Scale Fuel Treatments to Reduce Direct Wildfire Exposure at the WUI Edge

Dudek will identify priority fuel treatment opportunities by evaluating where wildfire is most likely to threaten developed areas. The analysis will integrate wildfire behavior modeling outputs (flame length, fireline intensity, and rate of spread), burn probability and relative hazard, fire progression and major fire flow paths, predicted wildfire entry points, and the locations of structures, critical infrastructure, and other values at risk.

These datasets will be weighted and combined into a ranked priority layer identifying areas where high-intensity wildfire is most likely to impact communities. Emphasis will be placed on major fire spread corridors and WUI-edge locations where fuel treatments can most effectively reduce fire intensity, slow fire spread, disrupt fire progression, and reduce ember production before wildfire reaches developed areas. The resulting prioritization will identify strategic fuel treatment zones that provide the greatest opportunity to reduce direct wildfire exposure to neighborhoods and critical assets.



Example of Dudek's modeling depicting priority treatment areas along the WUI edge.

Identification of Priority Areas for Defensible Space and Home Hardening to Reduce Structure Ignition and Structure-to-Structure Fire Spread

Dudek will identify neighborhoods and parcels where built-environment mitigation actions are expected to provide the greatest reduction in structure loss. The analysis will integrate parcel-level structure vulnerability, modeled ember exposure, proximity to wildfire entry points and fire flow paths, defensible space conditions, structure density and spacing, and evacuation/access constraints.

These factors will be weighted and combined into a single spatial layer to rank the areas that are most vulnerable to ember ignition, direct wildfire exposure, and structure-to-structure fire spread. The resulting prioritization will highlight where coordinated defensible space improvements, vegetation management, and home hardening efforts are likely to achieve the greatest reduction in community wildfire risk.

Integrated Prioritization

The prioritization framework will produce a series of spatially explicit maps and rankings that distinguish between areas where landscape-scale fuel treatments are likely to provide the greatest benefit and areas where parcel-scale mitigation measures are expected to be most effective. Together, these analyses will support a comprehensive risk reduction strategy that addresses both pathways of community wildfire loss: (1) wildfire transmission from surrounding wildlands into the community and (2) the ignition and propagation of fire within the built environment. This integrated approach provides a scientifically defensible basis for directing limited mitigation resources toward the locations and treatment types expected to achieve the greatest reduction in community wildfire risk.

Task 3: Prioritized Mitigation Strategies and Opportunities

Dudek understands that technical analyses fall short if they do not translate to informing management decisions and on-the-ground actions to reduce wildfire risk. The prioritization framework described above will serve as the foundation for developing targeted wildfire mitigation strategies. Dudek brings extensive experience developing priority action plans at the City level through numerous wildfire hazard and risk assessments and CWPPs across the western United States. This experience informs a practical, implementation-focused approach that translates technical risk analyses into feasible on-the-ground actions.

Following completion of the risk and vulnerability analyses, Dudek will work closely with City staff, the Fire Department, and other relevant stakeholders identified during project kickoff to review findings and identify mitigation actions that are both effective and feasible within the local context. Dudek will facilitate one or more strategy development workshops to present prioritization results, discuss key drivers of wildfire risk, and solicit input on local operational considerations, land ownership constraints, ongoing programs, and implementation opportunities.

Based on the analysis results and stakeholder input, the recommended actions will be organized into three primary categories: (1) Vegetation Management, including fuel reduction in wildland areas; (2) Structure Hardening, including defensible space improvements and priority structural hardening measures; and (3) Community Education and Outreach, including programs to increase compliance, awareness, and participation in wildfire risk reduction efforts. This structure ensures that recommendations are clearly organized, actionable, and aligned with the best practices and goals of the WUI Mitigation Alignment Project.

Project Deliverables

Following the completion of the project, Dudek will provide to the City:

- Wildfire Analysis and Assessment Report providing:
 - Vulnerability Assessment Methods and Results, including PDF maps
 - Priority recommendations for wildfire mitigation projects
 - GIS Database inclusive of all model outputs
- Online web map inclusive of all relevant model data and results so that City staff can easily view and interpret the results
 - Community outreach and education materials related to recommended mitigation actions. Dudek’s graphics team has experience preparing similar graphics for our various wildfire planning documents

Experience and References

WILDFIRE HAZARD ASSESSMENTS UTAH ASSOCIATED MUNICIPAL POWER SYSTEMS	
STATUS Ongoing	BRIEF DESCRIPTION Dudek is currently contracted to conduct wildfire hazard assessments for 20 municipal power providers throughout Utah and Idaho. These include landscape burn probability and flame length modeling to identify potential wildfire threats to utility assets. Dudek recently completed a hazard assessment for the Horse Butte Wind Farm, located approximately 55 miles northeast of Pocatello, strengthening the team’s understanding of local wildfire conditions and risk factors in the region.
LOCATION Utah and Idaho	
COMMUNITY WILDFIRE PROTECTION PLAN: CITY-WIDE WILDFIRE HAZARD AND RISK ASSESSMENT CITY OF MURRIETA FIRE RESCUE	
STATUS Completed	BRIEF DESCRIPTION Dudek developed a fine-scale wildfire hazard and risk assessment for the City and its sphere of influence using a customized model that integrated fire behavior, ember exposure, ignition history, canopy cover, suppression difficulty, and proximity to hazardous fuels. The resulting technical report and risk maps identified high-risk community areas and priority opportunities for mitigation, education, and outreach.
LOCATION Murrieta, California	

WILDFIRE HAZARD AND RISK ASSESSMENT | CITY OF GRASS VALLEY FIRE DEPARTMENT

STATUS

Completed

LOCATION

Grass Valley,
California

BRIEF DESCRIPTION

Dudek completed a comprehensive wildfire hazard and risk assessment using advanced fire behavior and spread modeling. The analysis identified wildfire movement patterns, key entry points, and perimeter areas most likely to expose structures under representative weather and fuel conditions. These results provide a science-based basis for prioritizing vegetation management, defensible space, home hardening, and other mitigation measures to reduce community wildfire risk.

References

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
FOOTHILL COMMUNITIES
CWPP

Dustin McKibben
805.681.4200

dmckibbe@countyofsb.org

“I am confident that you will be more than pleased using Dudek for the wildfire assessment for the City of Pocatello. We have collaborated on several projects, most recently the Santa Barbara Foothills CWPP. I have been in vegetation management for the last 3 years, and their team consistently shows professionalism and the ability to deliver. Dudek has been an integral part of SBC, assisting us as we navigate heavy workloads.

I would highly recommend Dudek for your wildfire hazard and risk analysis. Please feel free to contact me at any time.”

CITY OF CALABASAS
CWPP

Michael Dyer
818.224.1600
mdyer@cityofcalabasas.com

“I am pleased to recommend Dudek for the wildfire assessment for the City of Pocatello. We have collaborated on the City of Calabasas CWPP over the past 18 months, and their team consistently demonstrated a great deal of professionalism, responsiveness, and technical expertise to the project.

Dudek delivered all major elements of the CWPP on time and with exceptional quality. Their ability to communicate effectively, adapt to project needs, and provide innovative solutions made a significant difference in our project’s success. When the Development team had input, Dudek incorporated it where necessary and seamlessly.

I would confidently recommend Dudek for wildfire hazard and risk analysis services. Please feel free to contact me for additional information.”

NEVADA COUNTY CWPP

Alessandra Zambrano
530.559.7286
alessandra.zambrano@nevadacountyca.gov

Timeline Schedule

Our proposed schedule is outlined below in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Proposed Schedule

Task	Key Components	Details
1. Vulnerability Analysis and Assessment	- Field Reconnaissance (Estimated 2 full days in August) - Vulnerability Assessment and Results, including maps and GIS data for the fire pathway analysis, wildfire hazard model, ember exposure maps, and structure wildfire exposure model.	August 6, 2026–November 6, 2026
2. Prioritized Mitigation Assessment	- Maps and GIS data depicting highest-risk areas and priority mitigation areas. - Maps and GIS data providing recommended fuels reduction areas and priority parcels for hardening.	November 7, 2026–February 6, 2027
3. Prioritized Mitigation Strategies and Opportunities	- Synthesized best management practices for community wildfire risk mitigation. - Informational materials for community education and outreach.	February 7, 2027–April 6, 2027

Price Proposal

The total price for this project, including labor rates, is outlined below in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Dudek Labor Hours and Rates

Project Team Role:	Specialist III	Senior Specialist I	GIS Analyst III	Analyst III	Total Dudek Hours	Dudek Labor Costs	Other Direct Costs	Total Fee
Team Member:	Matthew Crockett	Jeremy Cawn	GIS Analyst III	Shea Batchelder				
Billable Rate:	\$180	\$215	\$150	\$130				
Task 1: Vulnerability Assessment and Analysis	80	20	80	40	220	\$35,900	\$1,730	\$37,630
Task 2: Prioritized Mitigation Assessment	40	–	–	–	40	\$7,200	–	\$7,200
Task 3: Prioritized Mitigation Strategies and Opportunities	30	10	–	20	60	\$10,150	–	\$10,150
Total Hours	150	30	80	60	320	–	–	–
Total	\$27,000	\$6,450	\$12,000	\$7,800	–	\$53,250	\$1,730	\$54,980

Appendix A

Resumes

Matthew Crockett

PROJECT MANAGER

Matthew Crockett is a wildfire hazard and risk assessment specialist with 8 years' experience in wildfire risk assessment, fire behavior modeling, and community wildfire planning. His expertise includes wildfire hazard and risk assessments, wildfire spread modeling, community wildfire protection plans (CWPPs), and wildfire mitigation plans (WMPs). He has led and supported numerous city-scale wildfire hazard and risk assessments for municipalities across the western United States, helping communities identify vulnerabilities, prioritize mitigation actions, and strengthen long-term wildfire resilience.

Matthew is highly proficient in GIS analysis and fire behavior modeling and is passionate about applying science-based methods to inform practical, on-the-ground strategies that protect lives, property, and natural resources. In addition to his consulting work, he teaches GIS at California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, where he helps prepare the next generation of geospatial professionals through hands-on instruction and applied spatial analysis.

Relevant Project Experience

Wildfire Hazard Assessments, Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems, Utah and Idaho. Currently conducting wildfire hazard assessments for 20 municipal power providers throughout Utah and Idaho. These assessments include landscape burn probability and flame length modeling to identify potential wildfire threats to utility assets. Recently completed a hazard assessment for the Horse Butte Wind Farm, located approximately 55 miles northeast of Pocatello, further strengthening the understanding of local wildfire conditions and risk factors in the region.

Citywide Wildfire Hazard and Risk Assessment, City of Murrieta Fire Rescue, Murrieta, California. Led a comprehensive wildfire hazard and risk assessment as a key component of the City's CWPP. The assessment integrated advanced fire behavior and fire spread modeling to evaluate wildfire risk across the planning area and provide a science-based foundation for mitigation planning. Identified priority areas for vegetation management and community hardening utilizing fire behavior and fire spread path analyses to determine likely wildfire movement patterns, key wildfire entry points, and perimeter areas most likely to contribute to structure exposure. Evaluated how wildfires could spread under representative weather and fuel conditions, identifying locations where fire is most likely to enter the community and threaten developed areas. Utilized results to inform priority fuel reduction projects, defensible space initiatives, and other mitigation measures aimed at reducing wildfire risk to communities.

City of Grass Valley Wildfire Risk Assessment, Grass Valley Fire Department, Grass Valley, California. Worked with the City of Grass Valley Fire Department to develop a fine-scale wildfire hazard and risk assessment for



Education

*California Polytechnic State University
San Luis Obispo
MS, Environmental Sciences and Management*

*California Polytechnic State University
San Luis Obispo
BS, Environmental Management and Protection*

Professional Affiliations

*Association of Environmental Professionals (AEP)
Society of American Foresters (SAF)*

the City and its sphere of influence. Multiple spatial datasets related to wildfire hazard and community wildfire risk were incorporated into a customized GIS model to identify high-risk community areas. The community wildfire risk model map is intended to guide the City and Fire Department's wildfire mitigation efforts through identifying priority community areas for mitigation projects and education and outreach.

City of Carlsbad Wildfire Hazard and Risk Assessment, Carlsbad Fire Department, Carlsbad, California. As a component of the City's Wildfire Mitigation Plan, conducted a comprehensive wildfire hazard and risk assessment to support Fire Department wildfire mitigation programs throughout the City. The assessment incorporated geospatial analysis of wildland fuel conditions, wildfire history, and ignition probability to model fire behavior and potential spread pathways under local weather conditions. Results were used to identify high-risk areas for vegetation management prioritization and targeted defensible space inspections. In coordination with Dudek, these analytical outputs were synthesized into a Wildfire Mitigation Priority Layer, which integrated multiple risk factors including fuel loading, topographic influence, modeled fire spread potential, and asset exposure to produce a spatial decision-support tool that prioritizes treatment areas and supports data-driven allocation of wildfire mitigation resources.

City of Vista Wildfire Risk Assessment, City of Vista, Vista, California. Conducted a Quantitative Wildfire Risk Assessment (QWRA) to support updates to the City's General Plan Safety Element. Leveraged local weather and fuels conditions to model wildfire behavior and potential impact scenarios across the planning area. Analyzed wildfire ignition likelihood, fire spread dynamics, and potential exposure of developed areas to identify communities at elevated risk. The results informed spatial risk mapping and planning recommendations aimed at reducing future wildfire vulnerability and enhancing community resilience.

Community Wildfire Risk Assessment, Ventura County Fire Department, Ventura, California. Currently conducting wildfire risk assessments across the Cities of Simi Valley, Moorpark, and Casa Conejo, including mapping of wildland fuel hazards, wildfire history trends, and ignition/spread probability modeling. Assessing exposure of critical infrastructure, structural vulnerabilities in residential development, community values at risk, and operational readiness factors such as access and egress limitations to support hazard mitigation and response planning.

CWPP Preparation, Nevada County, California. Supported Nevada County in developing a Countywide CWPP. Created a fine-scale wildland-urban interface map for the County to identify regions where creating adequate defensible space is a high priority.

City of Calabasas CWPP, City of Calabasas, Calabasas, California. Directed the wildfire risk assessment for the City's communities and critical infrastructure and created a web-based platform to visualize results and support mitigation planning.

Los Angeles County Integrated Wildfire Safety Program, County of Los Angeles Department of Regional Planning, Los Angeles, California. Identified communities and critical infrastructure at risk from wildfire throughout Los Angeles County based on integrated wildfire hazard modeling.

Santa Barbara County Foothill Communities CWPP, Santa Barbara County Fire Department, Santa Barbara, California. Served as the lead GIS and fire behavior analyst. Created mapping layers related to fire behavior, community wildfire risk, and priority project areas. Mapping data was compiled into a StoryMap to effectively display complex data to the public.

Nevada City CWPP, City of Nevada City OES, Nevada City, California. Led the wildfire hazard and risk assessment in support of the City's Community Wildfire Protection Plan. Applied fire behavior modeling and

GIS-based analysis to identify areas of elevated wildfire hazard and risk within the City limits and sphere of influence. Conducted field assessments and site tours with fire department personnel to refine modeling inputs and validate high-risk locations. Developed prioritized mitigation project recommendations based on assessment findings.

Humboldt County CWPP, Humboldt County Planning Department, Humboldt County, California. Currently leading the wildfire hazard and risk assessment for Humboldt County's Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP). Developed a countywide custom fuel scape using LiDAR data and conducted a comprehensive weather analysis to create aggregate fire behavior modeling representative of regional weather patterns. Integrated burn probability and flame length modeling to evaluate relative wildfire hazard across the county. Combined hazard outputs with community proximity, urban vegetation, access constraints, and terrain factors to develop a comprehensive community wildfire risk assessment for populated areas throughout Humboldt County.

Placer County CWPP, Placer County Office of Emergency Services, Placer County, California. Currently leading the wildfire hazard and risk assessment for the update of Placer County's Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP). Utilizing the Vibrant Planet platform to develop countywide wildfire hazard and risk models across the County's five planning areas, integrating fuels, topography, weather, and fire behavior data to evaluate potential wildfire impacts. Conducting spatial analyses to identify communities and assets most vulnerable to wildfire and to assess the effectiveness of existing mitigation strategies. Developing decision-support tools and prioritization models to guide vegetation management treatments near communities, with a focus on reducing wildfire risk, improving community resilience, and supporting strategic fuel reduction investments. Collaborating with County staff, fire agencies, and stakeholders to ensure modeling outputs align with local conditions, operational needs, and CWPP objectives.

Los Altos Hills County Fire District CWPP, Los Altos Hills County Fire District, Los Altos Hills, California. Supported the Los Altos Hills County Fire District in developing a districtwide CWPP coinciding with the greater Santa Clara County CWPP update. Created a wildfire hazard model of the district using fine scale vegetation data at a 5-meter resolution. The wildfire hazard map was used to rank community wildfire risk by evacuation zones and identified priority areas for roadside fuel treatment along evacuation routes. The wildfire hazard model was used to identify critical infrastructure and Highly Valued Resources and Assets at greater risk from wildfire. Unique locations were mapped and recorded to identify where mitigation efforts could be prioritized to protect critical infrastructure and assets.

Monterey CWPP, Monterey Fire District, Monterey, California. Served as the lead analyst and performed a Qualitative Wildfire Risk Assessment using IFTDSS to identify communities at risk from wildfire within the Cities of Monterey, Carmel By-The-Sea, and Pacific Grove. Additionally, ember threat was modeled in the Plan Area using FlamMap to identify areas experiencing the greatest impacts from falling embers. Model results were utilized to prioritize areas for wildfire mitigation projects related to vegetation management and community education. Mapping data was incorporated into a customized StoryMap to provide a user-friendly experience.

Fuel Treatment Prioritization Web Application, Nevada County, California. Conducted a countywide landscape analysis to identify priority areas for fuel reduction projects based on wildfire hazard, risk reduction potential, and implementation feasibility. Results were integrated into an interactive web tool that enables users to map proposed project areas and evaluate them against key GIS layers to support data-driven planning and prioritization.

Modoc National Forest Fuel Treatment Prioritization, Golden State Natural Resources, Modoc County, California. Created maps identifying priority areas to conduct fuels treatments within pine plantations across the Modoc National Forest. Utilized fire behavior modeling, sensitive biological resources data, and road network data to develop prioritization maps.

Jeremy Cawn, RPF

WILDLAND FIRE HAZARD

Jeremy Cawn is a wildfire mitigation specialist and forester with 10 years of experience as a wildfire risk assessor, hazardous vegetation management program manager, and forester. Mr. Cawn's wildfire mitigation experience includes wildfire risk assessments, fire protection planning, defensible space and ignition-resistant construction inspections, and vegetation management plans. Mr. Cawn's arboricultural and forestry experience includes urban forest inventory and management, hazard tree assessments, pest and disease surveys, tree protection ordinance administration, new development plan review, and tree maintenance contract administration. Mr. Cawn previously worked as a fire prevention inspector for the Glendale Fire Department, Mr. Cawn spent 11 years as a wildland firefighter and a prescribed fire specialist.

During his career with the U.S. Forest Service, he served as a smokejumper based in Grangeville, Idaho, responding to wildfires throughout the Intermountain West. Mr. Cawn jumped numerous fires in southeastern Idaho, and developed a firsthand understanding of the fuels, fire behavior, topography, and weather conditions that influence wildfire risk in the Pocatello area. Having worked directly on wildfires in the region, Jeremy brings a grounded, operational perspective to wildfire analysis and assessment, helping ensure that recommendations are both scientifically sound and informed by real-world fire experience.

Project Experience

Horse Butte Wind Farm, Idaho Falls, Idaho. Prepared a fire risk assessment for the Horse Butte Wind Farm in foothills of the Bighorn Range for the Utah Association of Municipal Power Systems. Preparation of the fire risk assessment included the review of the Horse Butte Wind Farm site plans, operating procedures, and emergency preparedness. Performed fire hazard modeling for the project site, analyzed the results of the fire behavior modeling, and prepared a report summarizing the wildfire hazards at the Horse Butte Wind Farm that included an assessment of the sites wildfire vulnerabilities as well as the vulnerability of the Horse Butte Wind Farm equipment and facilities (2026).

Newport Coast Vegetation Management Plan, City of Newport Beach, California. Prepared a vegetation management plan for city owned hillsides in the Newport Coast community. Preparation of the vegetation management plan incorporated a review of existing city practices and field assessment of vegetation and terrain conditions within 100 feet of a



Education

Northern Arizona University
Professional Certification, Fire Ecology and Hazardous Fuels Management, 2013

Southern Illinois University
BS, Forestry (Natural Resource Management), 2001

Certifications

ISA Certified Arborist, Municipal Specialist No. NY-5876AM

ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualification

Registered Professional Forester (RPF), No. 3007

NFPA Certified Wildfire Mitigation Specialist

AFE Certified Wildland Fire Manager

Professional Affiliations

SoCal Fire Prevention Officers Association

International Society of Arboriculture

Association of Fire Ecologists

structure and 10 feet of a public street. Field assessment also reviewed factors impacting treatment effectiveness including access and cut material disposal. Prepared a vegetation management using the results of our review that meets city fire department requirements and matched treatment type to treatment accessibility, vegetation, and terrain (2025).

Laguna Niguel Defensible Space and Vegetation Management Program Study, Laguna Niguel, California.

Prepared an assessment of the effectiveness of the City of Laguna Niguel's Vegetation weed abatement program on city owned open space areas. The assessment consisted of three parts; a review of the existing weed abatement programs compliance with regulatory requirements, an analysis of the wildfire risk present in city open space and the ability of the weed abatement program to mitigate the predicted fire behavior, and cost effectiveness of the weed abatement program. A summary of our assessment plus recommendations for improving the weed abatement program were submitted to the city in a report and presented at city council meeting. (2026)

Relevant Previous Experience

Glendale Fire Department, Glendale, California. Served as a Fire Prevention Inspector. Administered the Department's Vegetation Management Program, performing all public outreach, private and public property inspections, enforcement, and abatement contract management. I reworked the entire program, transitioning it from a company-led program to a prevention led program. Implemented a new digital inspection software and notification service. Updated the Fire Department's operational guide for the Vegetation Management Program. Provided technical expertise and guidance for the update of the City's Hazardous Vegetation Ordinance during the 2019–2020 Building and Safety Code cycle. (2018–2021)

Presentations

“Zone 0 and the Implications for Trees in Wildland Urban Interface Areas” West Coast International Society of Arboriculture 2026 Annual Conference. Fish Camp, California. April 2026.

“Preparing Effective Evacuation Studies for CEQA Review.” Northern California Association of Environmental Planners, Anderson, California, October 2024.

“The Vegetation Management Program.” Glendale Fire Department, Glendale, California, April 2021.

Curtis Battle

GIS ANALYST

Curtis Battle is a geographic information systems (GIS) analyst with 15 years' experience serving environmental projects for municipal, regional, and federal public agencies, nonprofit organizations, and private businesses. He is proficient in a wide variety of GIS platforms and techniques, including Esri's software suite (ArcGIS Pro/ArcMap, ArcGIS Enterprise, ArcGIS Online); geodatabase construction and maintenance; quantitative geographic methods; cartography; species distribution modeling; remote sensing; Python programming; and cartography. He has provided GIS support for numerous environmental impact reports (EIRs); habitat conservation plans (HCPs); technical studies, including biological technical reports, vegetation mapping, and wildlife surveys; landcover classification modelling; and mitigation monitoring and reporting programs.



Education

*San Diego State
University
MS, GIS Science
BA, Geography*

*Mesa College
AS, Geographic
Information Systems
Specialist*

Relevant Project Experience

Urban Forest Management Plan, County of Los Angeles, California. Principal GIS analyst responsible for countywide landcover classification using high-resolution aerial imagery. Duties included employing deep learning/AI image classification techniques to analyze remotely sensed imagery. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

Urban Forest Management Plan, City of Asheville, North Carolina. Principal GIS analyst responsible for citywide landcover classification of high-resolution aerial imagery. Duties included employing semi-automated and machine learning image classification techniques to analyze remotely sensed aerial imagery. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

Urban Forest Management Plan, City of Pleasanton, California. Principal GIS analyst responsible for citywide landcover classification of high-resolution aerial imagery. Duties included employing semi-automated and machine learning image classification techniques to analyze remotely sensed aerial imagery. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

Urban Forest Management Plan, City of Hayward, California. Principal GIS analyst responsible for citywide landcover classification using high-resolution aerial imagery, and lidar. Duties included using semi-automated and machine learning image classification to analyze remotely sensed aerial imagery/lidar. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

Urban Forest Management Plan, City of Chico, California. Principal GIS analyst responsible for multi-year citywide landcover classification using high-resolution aerial imagery. Duties included employing semi-automated and machine learning image classification techniques to analyze remotely sensed aerial imagery. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

Urban Forestry Management Plan, City of Fresno, California. Principal GIS analyst responsible for citywide tree canopy and land cover classification mapping. Duties included employing semi-automated and machine learning image classification techniques to analyze remotely sensed aerial imagery. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

Urban Forestry Management Plan, City of Irvine, California. Principal GIS analyst responsible for citywide tree canopy and land cover classification mapping. Duties included employing semi-automated and machine learning image classification techniques to classify satellite imagery. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

Urban Forestry Management Plan, City of Temecula, California. Principal GIS analyst responsible for citywide tree canopy and land cover classification mapping. Duties included employing semi-automated and machine learning image classification techniques to analyze aerial imagery and LIDAR. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

Urban Forestry Management Plan, City of San Diego, California. Principal GIS analyst responsible for citywide tree canopy and land cover classification mapping. Duties included employing semi-automated and machine learning image classification techniques to classify satellite imagery. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

San Joaquin Marsh Aerial Analysis. Served as principal GIS analyst responsible for using remotely sensed satellite, aerial, and UAS imagery to quantify changes in land cover and vegetation type and health over the 15-year period from 2006 to 2020.

City of San Diego Stadium Wetlands Restoration Project. Served as principal GIS analyst responsible for quantifying changes to on-site vegetation community composition over a 5 year period. Duties included employing automated and semi-automated image classification techniques to classify UAS imagery and lidar in order to monitor the success project restoration efforts. Provided additional GIS analysis and cartographic support.

San Timoteo Creek Habitat Monitoring Program, Yucaipa Valley Water District, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, California. Served as principal GIS analyst using satellite and aerial imagery to remotely monitor and measure long-term changes to riparian habitat, portions of which are occupied by the state and federally listed endangered least Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii pusillus*), within San Timoteo Creek associated with expansion of the Yucaipa Valley Water District's non-potable water distribution system between the years 2012 and 2020.

Southern Subregion Habitat Reserve Vegetation Map Update, Rancho Mission Viejo Land Trust, Orange County, California. Served as GIS analyst supporting Rancho Mission Viejo's 2017 vegetation map update for the Initial Management Action Plan. Project involved collection of high-resolution satellite and aerial imagery and lidar to derive raster products characterizing the structure of vegetation, and to evaluate vegetation change within the Habitat Reserve over the period from 2012 to present. The satellite and lidar change detection products helped biologists quantify on-site vegetation changes, assess the accuracy of boundary mapping between vegetation communities, and update the vegetation classification system used in the 2012 mapping.

Appendix B

Required Forms

City of Pocatello – Wildfire Analysis and Assessment

Date Issued: May 15, 2026 after 8AM

Appendix B. Non-Collusion Affidavit

NON-COLLUSION AFFIDAVIT

THIS FORM IS TO BE EXECUTED BY RESPONDENT AND SUBMITTED WITH PROPOSAL.

STATE OF California)

:ss

COUNTY OF San Diego)

Joseph Monaco being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he or she is President of Dudek, the (sole owner, partner, president, secretary, etc.) party making the foregoing proposal, that the proposal is not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company association, organization, or corporation; that the bid is genuine and not collusive or sham; that the Respondent has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other respondent to put in a false or sham proposal, and has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any respondent or anyone else to put in a sham proposal, or that anyone shall refrain from responding; that the Respondent has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement, communication, or conference with anyone to fix the proposal price of the Respondent or any other respondent, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the proposal price, or of that of any other respondent, or to secure any advantage against the public body awarding the contract or anyone interested in the proposed contract; that all statements contained in the Proposal are true; and further, that the Respondent has not, directly or indirectly, submitted his or her proposal price or any breakdown thereof, or the contents thereof, or divulged information or data relative thereto, or paid, and will not pay, any fee to any corporation, partnership, company association, organization, proposal depository, or to any member or agent thereof, to effectuate a collusive or sham proposal.

Signed: 

Title: CEO/President

Subscribed and sworn to before me

This day of June, 2026 (SEAL)

Notary Public in and for the

County of

State of

Residing at

My Commission expires

See attached California Jurat

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California

County of San Diego

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 2nd
day of June, 2026, by Joseph Monaco

proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the
person(s) who appeared before me.



(Seal)

Signature

Becky Pearson

City of Pocatello – Wildfire Analysis and Assessment

Date Issued: May 15, 2026 after 8AM

Appendix C: Affidavit of Payment or Securement of All Taxes

AFFIDAVIT OF PAYMENT OR SECUREMENT OF ALL TAXES
THIS FORM IS TO BE EXECUTED BY RESPONDENT AND SUBMITTED WITH PROPOSAL.

STATE OF California)

COUNTY OF San Diego)

:SS

Joseph Monaco, being first duly sworn, deposes and
says that (Bidder)

he or she is _____ in conformance with Idaho Code 63-15-2;
affiant (is/is not)

states that Dudek has paid or secured to the satisfaction
of the (Bidder)

respective taxing units all taxes for which he or his property is liable now due or delinquent
including assessments, excises, and license fees levied by the State of Idaho or any taxing
unit within the State of Idaho or the taxing unit of the applicable state within which the Respondent's
business is located.

Dated this 2nd day of June, 2026.

Signed: _____

Title: CEO

Subscribed and sworn to before me

This _____ day of June, 2026 (SEAL)

Notary Public in and for the

County of _____

State of _____

Residing at _____

My Commission expires _____

see attached California Jurat


A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California

County of San Diego

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 2nd
day of June, 2024, by Joseph Monaco

proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the
person(s) who appeared before me.



(Seal)

Signature

Becky Pearson

City of Pocatello – Wildfire Analysis and Assessment
Date Issued: May 15, 2026 after 8AM

Appendix D: Certification Regarding Lobbying

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

Project Address: City of Pocatello – 911 N. 7th Ave., Pocatello, ID

Applicable to: **All Contractors and Subcontractors**

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his/her knowledge and belief that:

1. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
2. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to report Lobbying" in accordance with its instructions.
3. The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-awards at all tiers (including subcontractors, sub-grants and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all sub-recipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, Title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Date: 6/12/26

Signed: [Signature]

Title: CEO

DBA: Dudek

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this ____ day of June, 2026

See attached California
Notary Acknowledgment

Notary Public for
My Commission Expires: _____

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California

County of San Diego

On June 2, 2026 before me, Becky Pearson, Notary Public
(insert name and title of the officer)

personally appeared Joseph Monaco,
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are
subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in
his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the
person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.


I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

Becky Pearson

(Seal)



City of Pocatello – Wildfire Analysis and Assessment
Date Issued: May 15, 2026 after 8AM

Appendix A. Price Proposal Form

The undersigned proposes to provide services to the City of Pocatello in accordance with the response to its Request for Proposals (RFP). This price includes all services and out of pocket expenses as per the terms and specifications stated in the non-price proposal.

Price includes but is not limited to all deliverables listed in the RFP.


\$ 54,980

All-inclusive fees

fifty-four thousand, nine hundred and eighty Dollars

Written sum

On a separate page or pages, please provide details of proposed deliverables and schedule of associated payments. Please also provide a detailed breakdown of the labor rates and estimated labor hours for each staff member in the proposal.



Signature (blue ink please)

Joseph Monaco

Printed Name

President and CEO

Title

06/15/2026

Date

Dudek

rfp@dudek.com

Company Name

687 S Coast Highway 101, Suite 110

Email

760.942.5147

Street

Encinitas, CA 92024

Phone

760.632.0164

City, State, Zip

Fax

*Please see the scope of work and cost breakdown provided in the proposal

DUDEK

800.450.1818 | HELLO@DUDEK.COM

DUDEK.COM



DUDEK 2026 Standard Schedule of Charges

Engineering Services

Project Director	\$350.00/hr
Principal Engineer III	\$330.00/hr
Principal Engineer II	\$310.00/hr
Principal Engineer I	\$300.00/hr
Program Manager	\$285.00/hr
Senior Project Manager	\$285.00/hr
Project Manager	\$275.00/hr
Senior Engineer III	\$270.00/hr
Senior Engineer II	\$260.00/hr
Senior Engineer I	\$250.00/hr
Project Engineer IV/Technician IV	\$240.00/hr
Project Engineer III/Technician III	\$230.00/hr
Project Engineer II/Technician II	\$215.00/hr
Project Engineer I/Technician I	\$200.00/hr
3D Production Manager	\$225.00/hr
Senior Designer II	\$220.00/hr
Senior Designer I	\$210.00/hr
Designer	\$195.00/hr
Assistant Designer	\$190.00/hr
CADD Operator III	\$210.00/hr
CADD Operator II	\$205.00/hr
CADD Operator I	\$165.00/hr
CADD Drafter	\$185.00/hr
CADD Technician	\$135.00/hr
Project Coordinator II	\$165.00/hr
Project Coordinator I	\$135.00/hr
Engineering Assistant	\$130.00/hr

Environmental Services

Senior Project Director	\$375.00/hr
Project Director	\$350.00/hr
Senior Specialist V	\$290.00/hr
Senior Specialist IV	\$275.00/hr
Senior Specialist III	\$260.00/hr
Senior Specialist II	\$245.00/hr
Senior Specialist I	\$230.00/hr
Specialist V	\$220.00/hr
Specialist IV	\$205.00/hr
Specialist III	\$195.00/hr
Specialist II	\$185.00/hr
Specialist I	\$175.00/hr
Analyst V	\$165.00/hr
Analyst IV	\$155.00/hr
Analyst III	\$145.00/hr
Analyst II	\$135.00/hr
Analyst I	\$115.00/hr
Technician IV	\$105.00/hr
Technician III	\$95.00/hr
Technician II	\$85.00/hr
Technician I	\$75.00/hr
Project Coordinator II	\$165.00/hr
Project Coordinator I	\$135.00/hr

Mapping and Surveying Services

UAS Pilot	\$180.00/hr
Survey Lead	\$310.00/hr
Survey Manager	\$270.00/hr
Survey Crew Chief	\$205.00/hr
Survey Rod Person	\$155.00/hr
Survey Mapping Technician	\$145.00/hr

Construction Management Services

Principal Manager	\$225.00/hr
Senior Construction Manager	\$195.00/hr
Senior Project Manager	\$190.00/hr
Construction Manager	\$190.00/hr
Project Manager/Construction Management	\$180.00/hr
Resident Engineer	\$180.00/hr
Construction Engineer	\$180.00/hr
On-site Owner's Representative	\$165.00/hr
Prevailing Wage Inspector	\$165.00/hr
Construction Inspector	\$155.00/hr
Administrator/Labor Compliance	\$150.00/hr

Hydrogeology/HazWaste Services

Project Director	\$350.00/hr
Principal Hydrogeologist/Engineer III	\$330.00/hr
Principal Hydrogeologist/Engineer II	\$320.00/hr
Principal Hydrogeologist/Engineer I	\$310.00/hr
Senior Hydrogeologist V/Engineer V	\$285.00/hr
Senior Hydrogeologist IV/Engineer IV	\$275.00/hr
Senior Hydrogeologist III/Engineer III	\$265.00/hr
Senior Hydrogeologist II/Engineer II	\$255.00/hr
Senior Hydrogeologist I/Engineer I	\$245.00/hr
Project Hydrogeologist V/Engineer V	\$235.00/hr
Project Hydrogeologist IV/Engineer IV	\$225.00/hr
Project Hydrogeologist III/Engineer III	\$215.00/hr
Project Hydrogeologist II/Engineer II	\$205.00/hr
Project Hydrogeologist I/Engineer I	\$195.00/hr
Hydrogeologist/Engineering Assistant	\$150.00/hr
HazMat Field Technician	\$140.00/hr

District Management & Operations

District General Manager	\$225.00/hr
District Engineer	\$230.00/hr
Operations Manager	\$170.00/hr
District Secretary/Accountant	\$155.00/hr
Collections System Manager	\$155.00/hr
Grade V Operator	\$145.00/hr
Grade IV Operator	\$130.00/hr
Grade III Operator	\$120.00/hr
Grade II Operator	\$100.00/hr
Grade I Operator	\$95.00/hr
Operator in Training	\$85.00/hr
Collection Maintenance Worker	\$90.00/hr

Project Delivery Services

Technology Specialist II	\$245.00/hr
Technology Specialist I	\$190.00/hr
GIS Analyst V	\$220.00/hr
GIS Analyst IV	\$200.00/hr
GIS Analyst III	\$185.00/hr
GIS Analyst II	\$145.00/hr
GIS Analyst I	\$130.00/hr
Creative Services IV	\$190.00/hr
Creative Services III	\$165.00/hr
Creative Services II	\$150.00/hr
Creative Services I	\$135.00/hr
Technical Editor IV	\$190.00/hr
Technical Editor III	\$165.00/hr
Technical Editor II	\$155.00/hr
Technical Editor I	\$135.00/hr
Publications Specialist IV	\$140.00/hr
Publications Specialist III	\$130.00/hr
Publications Specialist II	\$120.00/hr
Publications Specialist I	\$110.00/hr
Clerical Administration	\$100.00/hr

Expert Witness – Court appearances, depositions, and interrogatories as expert witness will be billed at 2.00 times normal rates.

Emergency and Holidays – Minimum charge of two hours will be billed at 1.75 times the normal rate.

Material and Outside Services – Subcontractors, rental of special equipment, special reproductions and blueprinting, outside data processing and computer services, etc., are charged at 1.15 times the direct cost.

Travel Expenses – Mileage at current IRS allowable rates. Per diem where overnight stay is involved is charged at cost.

Invoices, Late Charges – All fees will be billed to Client monthly and shall be due and payable upon receipt. Invoices are delinquent if not paid within 30 days from the date of the invoice. Client agrees to pay interest at a 10% annual rate for amounts unpaid greater than 30 days after the date of the invoice.

Annual Increases – Unless identified otherwise, these standard rates will increase in line with the CPI-U for the nearest urban area per the Department of Labor Statistics to where the work is being completed) or by 3% annually, whichever is higher.

Prevailing Wage – The rates listed above assume prevailing wage rates do not apply. If this assumption is incorrect Dudek reserves the right to adjust its rates accordingly.

Exhibit 3: Details For Payments made by ACH Information

BMO Harris Bank Incoming ACH and Incoming Domestic Wire (within the US) Instructions:

Bank Name:	BMO Harris Bank NA
Bank Routing Number:	071000288
Beneficiary Name:	DUDEK
Beneficiary Account Number:	2384162

BMO Harris Bank Incoming International Wire (outside the US) Instructions:

Bank Name:	BMO Harris Bank NA
Bank Swift Number:	HATRUS44
Beneficiary Name:	DUDEK
Beneficiary Account Number:	2384162

Credit Card Payments:

Email accounting@dudek.com for a link to make a credit card payment.

Fraud Prevention Notice – ACH Payment Instructions

To protect against fraud, please note that Dudek will never request changes to ACH payment instructions via email. Any communication regarding changes to our banking or payment details will be conducted through secure, verified channels only. If you receive an email requesting changes to ACH or other payment information, do not act on it and contact us immediately through a known and trusted phone number. We strongly recommend that all clients implement internal controls to verify any payment instruction changes before processing.